

Criteria for admitting or rejecting papers

1. The initial review of all papers is conducted by the Editorial Board of Scripta Historica.
2. There are at least two reviewers appointed to review each paper.
3. In the case of papers written in a foreign language, at least one reviewer is affiliated to an institution in another country other than the author's country of origin.
4. It is advisable for the author(s) and reviewers not to know one another's identities (so called "double blind review process").
5. When taking a different approach, the reviewer has to submit a declaration of interest. The following relationships between the author and the reviewer are considered a conflict of interest:
 - a. direct personal relationships (kinship, legal relationships, conflict)
 - b. direct reporting lines,
 - c. direct scientific cooperation in the last two years preceding the review,
6. The review should be in writing and end with a definite recommendation for publishing or rejection.
7. The rules for accepting or rejecting papers and the reviewer's form are accessible on the journal's website or in the journal.
8. The reviewers' names of individual publications are not revealed. The list of cooperating reviewers is made public in the journal once a year.